

## Aquatics Strategy- comments/edits

Page 2-3, Lines 9-12. There is no reference citation for this research station publication.

Page 2-6, Line 33+. No reference or citation (or rationale) is presented supporting your statement that “more than 80% of all trees, shrubs, and other ground cover will be retained within the RPZ following this proposed prescription”. If you do the math on the trees only , it calculates at ~62%, you need something from the literature showing that shrubs and sub-merch make up the other 18%.

Page 2-6 and 2-7, Tier 1 strategy. It appears to me you are relying on where listed species are likely to be present. I think you are missing an important factor by not including potential habitat where artificial barriers (culverts) have cut off habitat from migrating fish; and if these barriers were removed fish would repopulate the stream. We have a lengthy discussion of this starting on page 1 of our Term Guidance (Part II), which we left with you last summer.

Page 2-8, line 4. I think the “Beyond the 50 foot minimum SMZ” should actually be “beyond the 25 foot no harvest zone”.

Page 2-8, line 16 and 17. Add the “or 50% greater than 8 inches” caveat to this statement.

Page 2-8, line 22. Add the slope distance (50 or 100 feet where slope is greater than 35% to this statement.

Page 2-12, line 29. I think clearcutting is prohibited in you Class 3 stream also.